

## PORTIONS OF THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT ARE ILLEGIBLE



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION VIII

471

999 18th STREET - SUITE 500 - DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2466

. Ref: SEWM-FF

Mr. Steve Slaten, IAG Coordinator Department of Energy Rocky Flats Office P.O. Box 928 Golden, CO 80402-0928

AS 19 1984

Re: 00 2, 3, 5, & 6 Schedules

Dear Mr. Slaten:

EPA and CDPHE have reviewed the schedules submitted for OU: 2, 3, 5, and 6 in your July 8 and July 15, 1994, letters. While we are disappointed to see that significantly shorter schedules could not be achieved through streamlining, we see no advantage in a prolonged debate over this issue. Thus, we are hereby approving the schedules as submitted. IAG milestones for submittal of the Draft and Final RI reports to EPA and CDPHE ar now as follows:

				•		Draft		Final			
,	ου	2	RI	Report		May 3	30, :	1995	Sept	21,	1995
	OU	·3	RI	Report		Oct.	30,	1995	July	11,	1996
	¢π	5	RI	Report	•	July	21,	1995	Jan.	17,	1996
	ΟĠ	6	RI	Report		June	21,	1995	Dec.	21,	1995

We are granting these extensions with the understanding that the schedules submitted incorporate all impacts of the stop wor: order, the resulting agreement on Risk Assessment methodologies and implementation of that agreement for the subject OVs. No further schedule extensions pursuant to these issues will be considered.

In the case of OU 2, Stipulated Penalties under the IAG we's accruing when the stop work order was issued, and such accrual was to resume upon lifting of the stop work and continue until delivery of the RI report. Under the circumstances, we will consider all outstanding penalties for the OU 2 RI report to be covered by the Settlement Agreement and State Order signed by a l parties in July, 1994. Further penalties for non-delivery of the OU 2 RI report shall not be assessed until and unless the milestones set herein are violated.

Printed on Rect Hed Paper

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE For OU 5, we recognize that schedule changes to accommodate additional field work authorized under Technical Memorandum #15 may be necessary. These will be considered on their merits and should be documented and submitted in a separate request for extension, if necessary.

EPA notes that the schedules submitted are not reflected in the Activity Data Sheets or the Basis of Estimate information submitted in support of the TAG negotiations. We would appreciate an explanation of how and when this discrepancy will be recondited, and what impact that may have on the current ER baseline and budget information.

If you have questions or would like to discuss the progress of these efforts, please call.

Sincerely,

Mahilahal

Martin Hestmark, EPA Manager Rocky Flats Project

c: Shirley Olinger, DOE Scott Grace, DOE Jen Pepe, DOE Peter Ornstein, EFA-ORC Rocky Flats IAG Unit Leader

COPHE

Joe Schieffe